A. H. Hassall, Esq., M. D.

2 May 1856.

believing them to be genuine, although they are not purely the substance professed to be sold?—I think measures may be so framed to avoid doing injustice to any party. (The Witness withdrew.)

The Chairman stated that he had received a letter from Dr. Normandy, with respect to the evidence given by Mr. Robertson. The Committee thought, for the purpose of justifying Dr. Normandy, it was only necessary to read two paragraphs of the letter.

#### [The same were read, as follows:]

"I told him (Mr. Robertson) then that those samples would be no proof of Messrs. Gilbertson & Company's usual practice as bakers, but that I would call in a few days at his shop and choose a loaf there myself, and I did so.

"I never denied or affirmed that I analysed the samples which Mr. Robertson had brought me, for or on account of any unsatisfactory results which the analysis of such samples would have yielded. I kept no memorandum of it, but from the certificate which it appears Mr. Gilbertson holds, it seems that I analysed them, and that this analysis agreeing with that of my own sample, I gave him the certificate in question."

# APPENDIX.

AN ACCOUNT of the Importations of MILLET SEED, in each of the last Ten Years, distinguishing the Countries from which Imported.

COUNTRIES	Quantities of Millet Seed Imported into the United Kingdom.										
from which Imported.	1846.	1847.	1848.	1849.	1850.	1851.	1852.	1853.	1854.	1855.	
Russia, Ports within the Black Sea France Portugal Vaples and Sicily Vallata Varkey Proper Wallachia and Moldavia - Syria and Palestine Egypt New Granada Other Parts	Cwts. 6,322 9  13  79	Cwts.  891 28 6,318 1,689 89	Cwts.  463 87 2,242 376 158	Cwts.  1,288  1,049  9,107  243	Ciots.  104 179 4,101 1,167 86,541 1 95	Cwts.  40 200  3,645 50,546  51,495  119	Cwts.  174  1,986 8,143 40,708  35	Cwts.  20 23 5,000 24,450 5,109 117,028 6,521 8 158,159	Cicts.  29  3,075  12,738  28  16,470	Cuets.  180	

AN ACCOUNT of the Importations of MILLET SEED in each of the last Ten Years, distinguishing the Ports into which Imported.

PORTS						Quantities of Millet Seed Imported into the United Kingdom.											
into which Imported.			1846.	1847.	1848.	1849.	1850.	1851.	1852.	1853.	1854.	1855.					
London Bristol Gloncester		- <del>-</del>	-	- - -	Cwis. 4,522	878	Cwts. 267 2,242	Cwts. 1,113	Cwts. 3,464 4,627	Cwts. 5,830 3,645 9,246	Cuots. 178 - 8,143	Cwts. 23,230 9,474 15,589	Cwts.  55   3,675	314 —			
Liverpool Hull - Dublin Waterford Limerick Westport Sligo - Belfast Cork - Other Port	- ·	tivel	- - - - - -		1,880	7,539 2 3   483 110	80    343  394	10,559 - 8 4	25,525 44,344 2,594	20,872 9,262 9,310 10,923 35,522  1,234  201	42,604	10,066 45,557 	12,738	4,734 2,591 726 34,654 — 4,086			
	T07/			-	6,423	9,015	3,326	11,687	92,188	106,045	51,046	158,159	16,470	47,09			

ANALYSIS

### ANALYSIS OF INDEX.

ALPHABETICAL and CLASSIFIED LIST of the PRINCIPAL HEADINGS in the following INDEX, with the Paging at which they will be respectively found.

		1	PAGE		A G
INALYSTS		-	320	Drugs-continued.	
Central Board		-	331		33
SEER:					33
1. Generally as to the A	dulteration by	the		6. Ireland	33
Publican		-	322		33
2. Adulteration with Cocci		-	323	S. Expediency of an Inspection of Chemists'	აა
3. Adulteration with Sugar		. •	$3^{2}3$	Shops, together with a system of Pe-	
4. Doubt as to Adulteration			$3^{2}3$		33
5. Absence of Adulteration	by the Brewer	-	323	l	32
6. Means of detecting Adu	l!cration -	-	$3^{2}3$	) ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	32
Bread:					32
I. As to Adulteration with	Alum - · -	_	326		33
		_		Chloroform	33
1. Extent of the A			326	l	33
2. Form in which		ınα	326	Dublin	33
3. How far injuriou		- bow	327		33
4. Objects of the	able, independe				33
of the score of		y	207	Gentian Powder	34
5. Means of Detec		_	$\frac{327}{327}$		34
6. Case of Mr. Cla		_	327 327		34
		.,	3-1		34
II. Adulteration with oth	er substances	ınan	0		34
Alum		-	328		35
III. Remedial measures con	sidered -	-	328		35
IV. France		•	328		35
Act 3 Gco. 4, c. 106 -		-	319		35
Act 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 37 -		_	319	l •	35
Flour		-	341	l =	35. 35
Leeds		-	348		35
Normandy, Dr		_	353		35
Rochdale		-	361		35 <u>.</u>
Unfermented $B$ read		-	368	Scammony	36
				Spain	<del>ვ</del> 6
Central Board	•	-	331		•
Board of Health Inland Revenue Department		-	326	FLOUR:	
		-	347	1. Extent and Character of the Adulterations	34
Chemists and Druggists		-	332	2. Law upon the subject, amendment desirable	34
Drugs		-	336	Act 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 37	319
Chicory		-	332		32(
Coffee		• .	333		33
Fry, Messrs		-	342		34
Coffee:				Lecds (People's Flour Mill) ;	34
1. Extent of Adulteration v	with Chicory, &	·C	333	Nuisances Removal Act ;	35
2. Views of Doctors Taylo			000		35
recommending, condit				Rochdale, 1	36
Admixture		-	333	France	34:
3. Defence of the Admixtu	re of Chicory	-	333	Bread, IV.	328
4. Use of Chicory in Franc		-	334	Chemists and Druggists	33:
5. Objections to the right of		-	334	Coffice, 4	334
6. Tests for the Discovery	of Chicory -	-	334	Drugs, 4	338
Chicory		_	332	Fraudulent Adulterations	342
				••	
Confectionery		-	334		34(
Colouring Substances -	•	-	334		320
Flavouring Substances -		-	341		329
Onugs:				~ ~ .	327
1. As to Adulteration by	Drug-grinders;	ex-			333 334
culpatory Evidence he	reon	-	336		334
2. Extent of Adulteration	ı generally be	forc			3 <b>3</b> 4
Drugs are sold to the		-	337		337
3. America		-	ვვ8		34 I
				_	
0.23.				S s	

						PAGE
Health-continued.			,	PAGE	Preventive Measures—continued	
Flour, 1	-		-	341	Metropolis Local Management Bill	351
France	-		-	342	Order in Council	354
Meat	-		-	351	Penalties	354
Milk	-		-	351	Publicity	358
Pickles	-		~	355	Registration of Tradesmen	359
Preventive Measures	-	- <b>-</b>	-	357	Rctail Traders	359
Tea, IV	•		-	367	Spain	363
Vinegar	•		-	369	Stamp Duty	363
Impurities	-		-	346	Tea, VI	3 <sup>6</sup> 7
Drugs, 1. 2. 7	_		•	336	Prosecutions	353
Inland Revenue Department -	_		_	347	Central Bourd	331
Inspection	_		_	347	Publicity	358
Analysts	_		_	319	"Lancet," The	348
Board of Health	_	_	_	326	Press, The	357
Central Board	_		_	331	Registration of Tradesmen	359
Drugs, 8	-		_	339	Dwinson	
Flour, 2	_		-	341	RHUBARB:	
Inland Revenue Department	_		_	347	1. Cultivation of Medical Rhubarb in Eng-	
Local Boards	-		_	350	land	359
Nuisances Removal Act -	_		_	353	2. Quality and Price respectively of the Home	
Preventive Measures -	_		_	357	and Foreign Article	359
Tea, VI	_			367	3. Sale of English Rhubarb as such, or in sub-	0.50
	-		_	1	stitution for Foreign Rhuburb	359
"Lancet," The	-		•	348	4. Adulteration of powdered Rhubarb	359
Bread, I. 6	-		-	327	Rochdale:	
Fry, Messrs	-			342	1. Co-operative Society for the Supply of	
Vinegar	-		-	369	Flour	361
Microscope, The	•		-	351	2. Co-operative Society for the Supply of Pro-	,,01
Milk	-		-	351	visions	361
Mustard	-		-	352	Sale of Poisons	362
Oatmeal	-			354	Scammony	362
Penalties	-			354	Drugs	336
Central Board	_			331	Impurities	346
Drugs, 8	-			339	Sugar	363
Fines				341		0-0
France				342	Tea:	
Preventive Measures -	_			357	I. As to Adulteration generally in Black and	
Pharmaceutical Society	_				Green Teus:	
	-	- •		355	1. Evidence to the effect that there	_
Poor Law Unions	-		-	355	is no Adulteration	365
Poorer Classes	- ,		-	355	2. Evidence to a contrary purport -	365
Prevalence of Adulteration -	-			357	II. As to " Lie" Tea:	
<del>-</del>					1. Denial that it is now imported -	366
Preventive Measures	-			357	2. Statement in proof of Importation	366
Act 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 37	-			319	<u> </u>	
Ad valorem Duties	•			319	III. Manufacture of Tea in this Country -	366
Analysts	-			320	IV. Colouring of Green Tea; how far inju-	_
Bread, III	-			328	rious	367
Central Board	•			331	V. Cause of variation in Price	367
Coffee, 5	-			334	VI. Remedial Measures considered, with a view	•
Drugs, 7.8	-			338	to purity of Supply	367
Fines	-			341	_ • •	
Flour, 2	-			341	Ad valorem Duties	319
France	-			342	Tysam Tea	ვ68
Inland Revenue Department	•			347	Vinegar	369
Licensed Traders	-			349	Pyroligneous Acid	358
Local Boards	-			350		
London	-			350	Wine	370 363
Magistrates			-	350	Sherry Wine	კივ

## I N D E X.

[N. B.—In this Index the Figures following the Names of the Witnesses refer to the Questions in the Evidence, and the Numerals following Rep. p. to the paging of the Report.]

#### A.

ABBISS, JAMES. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—Wholesale and retail grocer, 249—Demurs to the evidence given before the Committee in 1855, as to the extent of adulteration of tea, sugar, &c., 250—Evidence to the effect that black tea is generally sold in a pure state; experience of twenty years on which witness grounds this statement, 250–252. 256–269. 276–290—Use by the Chinese of Prussian blue, &c., for facing green tea; this cannot be termed an adulteration, 253–255. 274, 275—Spurious tea has not been manufactured in England for some years, 257, 258—Witness tests the genuineness of his tea by the taste alone, 265–269. 276. 285—Belief that lie tea is not now imported, 270–273.

Denial of the accuracy of evidence as to the extent of adulteration in sugar; witness has never detected any adulteration, 291-300—Meaning attached to the term adulteration, 295, 296——Inaccuracy of evidence as to the sale invariably of wild nutmegs for cultivated nutmegs, 301-304.

With regard to coffee, witness submits that from seventy to eighty per cent. of the public prefer an admixture of chicory, 305. 317-319. 322-329. 331-334. 338-340——The mixture preferred is one-fourth of chicory to three-fourths of coffee, 306-308. 335—Cheapness of chicory as compared with coffee; temptation to fraud thereby, 310-313—Advantage to the public, as regards price, quality, and convenience, in the admixture of chicory by the vendor, 313. 317. 331-340—Objection to the proportions of the mixture being stated to the purchaser, 314, 315. 328-331—Coffee with fifty per cent. of chicory in it is quite exceptional, 315, 316—Objection to a separate sale of each article, the public being opposed thereto, 317-319—Approval generally of the present regulations as regards the sale of "genuine" coffee; the label on the mixed article is a sufficient protection to the public, 320-340.

Accidental Adulteration. See Impurities.

Act 3 Geo. 4, c. 106. Probability of steps being taken by the London public under the Act 3 Geo. 4, c. 106, (relative to bread), if the provisions of such Act were generally known, Goodman 3936-3940.—See also Bread.

Act 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 37. Propriety of abolishing the restrictions which exist under the Act 6 & 7 Will. 4, in regard to the manufacture of flour, Brown 3437—Process under the Act with respect to prosecutions for adulterated flour, Jackson 3679-3682. 3705—Permission given by the Act to use sundry ingredients besides flour in making bread, Bingley 4198—It is not generally known that a clause therein provides for the marking of all loaves of bread with the letter M, if the flour be mixed with other articles; importance of this clause, ib. 4199, 4200.

Provisions of the Act adverted to by the Committee, Rep. p. vii—It seems to comprise much that may be useful in framing a measure applicable to adulterations generally, ib.

See also Bread. Flour

Ad Valorem Duties. If there were an ad valorem duty on bohea, the consumer could purchase it for 1 s. or 1 s. 4 d. a pound, Woodin 2567—Injustice to the poor man in spurious and inferior teas being palmed off upon him, such teas being subject to the same duty of 1 s. 9 d. a pound, as the best teas, ib. 2568. 2662. 2690-2693—An ad valorem duty is not necessary if all painted or artificially coloured teas be prohibited, ib. 2696, 2697.

Adulterations. Mixtures, comprising the introduction of any article which deteriorates the quality, should be considered as adulterations, Abbiss 295, 296—The Board of Health, or some other central body, should issue instructions from time to time, as to what consitutes adulteration, Postgate 4238. 4248-4252. 4266. 4272. 4331-4342. 4386—Witness defines adulteration as the intentional addition to an article, for the purpose of 0.23.